

An aerial night photograph of London, showing the River Thames, the London Eye, and the city lights. The image serves as the background for the magazine cover.

Identity

Magazine of the British National Party

April 2008 - Issue 89 - £2.40

Rivers of Blood

Nick Griffin assess the legacy of Enoch Powell's speech

This Month

How America's cultural schizophrenia is spreading to Britain

How expanding Third World populations are depleting the world's resources

News

Immigration Keeps Rising

Details in last month's budget reveal that immigration has now risen to around 190,000 a year.

This is in strange contrast to all Labour's recent spin on a points system for new immigrants and a border force that should have created a fall in numbers by now. It again suggests that Labour is really counting on the extra immigrant votes to offset those of its former core voters who have switched to the BNP.

Meanwhile a Home Office report shows that the number of failed asylum seekers being deported slumped to a six year low - just as the number arriving in Britain has leapt to its highest level since 2005.



Executive, said: "Making BAA's failed finances public is an emergency. The company is highly indebted and there is much speculation about its financial survival. It is crucial to the UK economy and the flying public to know whether there is a 'Southern Rock' looming."

A BNP government would not have allowed the global capitalist deal that put the running of our main airports into foreign hands for extended profits.

Rise in Long Term Unemployed

Of Britain's 1.6 million unemployed, latest figures from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) show that 400,000 of these have been unemployed for more than a year. Most City economists expect unemployment to increase in the coming year as the economy slows down further.

Leaving aside Third World immigrants, as a member state of the EU there is nothing we can do about the continuing influx of eastern European migrants, who certainly work but hold down and even reduce wage levels. Because of our appalling educational system many of our own young workers have a lack of skills, making it almost impossible for them to find a job. The ONS figures revealed that the number of 18 - 24 young adults unemployed for more than a year has now broken the 100,000 mark.

Will BAA be a 'Southern Rock'

Since Grupo Ferrovial of Spain acquired the British Airports Authority (BAA) the amount of information available about its financial condition has shrunk dramatically. However as BAA is the UK's dominant airport operator and a supplier of services that are critical to the UK economy, easyJet, the leading low-fares airline, has requested the Civil Aviation Authority to make BAA's failing finance public under the Freedom of Information Act.

Andy Harrison, easyJet's Chief

Immigrants Add Pressure on Council Tax

The Local Government Association has said that part of the latest rise in council tax bills are down to high levels of immigration in some areas, such as Cambridgeshire, Solihull, Portsmouth and Derbyshire. Sir Simon Milton, the LGA chairman and leader of Westminster council, said: "Some areas have found themselves short-changed because flows of migration have been underestimated."

Global Warming Will Bring Flood of Migrants

Although it seems to have ignored the fact that the Northern Hemisphere, with the exception of Western Europe, has just had its coldest winter for more than 50 years, a new EU report says that climate change threatens Britain with "environmentally induced migration".

It predicts social unrest as an influx of immigration sweeps "destination Europe", following water shortages, failing harvests and environmental conflicts in the third world. The BNP says that even if global warming is not as extensive as first predicted, all these problems will still arise because of the ever expanding world population. This is why we have reached peak oil sooner than expected and see escalating food prices.

A small scale example of this type of immigration that we can expect is the case of 66 Kenyan "refugees" from a camp in Ethiopia who are being flown to Middlesbrough this month to start a new life at the British taxpayers' expense. They will be able to claim benefits directly they arrive.

It is not surprising that two candidates fighting the BNP's first election campaign in Middlesbrough averaged 11% in two ward by-elections last month.

Poles Could Decide London Election

Poles and other European migrants in London could decide the outcome of the mayoral election, says the *London Evening Standard*. More than 180,000 extra EU citizens have registered to vote this year than at the last poll in 2004.

London Elects, the body that oversees London elections, launched an extensive campaign in Polish and other languages to get people from the eight eastern European countries that joined the EU in 2004 to register for a vote.

£125,000 Legal Aid Bill for Sudanese Rapists

Five Sudanese 'refugees' were found guilty at Maidstone Court on February 28 of sexual activity with a child and one of them of rape. They had attacked two schoolgirls aged 13 in Dartford and Gravesend Kent last summer.

Judge Martin Joy said the five men had cost British taxpayers a total of £125,000 for individual interpreters and individual barristers, all supplied by legal aid. The five different interpreters, all to translate the same language, cost £25,000 alone.

identity

Editor: John Bean
Design: Mark Collett
April 2008

Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 158, Deeside,
CH5 2WW

Tel: 0871 050 0238

subscriptions@bnp.org.uk
www.identitymagazine.org.uk

Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format:
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 1WT.
Email: identity@bnp.org.uk



Drugs & the Islamic Connection

When interviewed by Kirsty Wark on BBC2 *Newsnight* last month Nick Griffin said: "You can't separate out the hard drugs trade from the question of Islam and Pakistani immigration." This caused a bout of apoplexy in the separate 'debate' by the Marxist Trade Union boss Bob Crow, the inept lapsed Marxist MP (chocolate biscuit section) Margaret Hodge, and the shock-jock oaf, John Gaunt. Nick Griffin's accusation was then taken up by several newspapers, who either denied any Islamic connection with drugs, or said that it was exaggerated. If you think it is exaggerated, read on.

As Tony Blair stated on October 2, 2001, 90% of heroin on British Streets comes from Afghanistan "and it was financing the military activities of the Taliban."

Much of it is processed in Pakistan. The traditional route for its passage to Europe and Britain has been through Turkey, which of course is a Muslim state, and then up through the Balkans by lorry or van. As was explained in a report by Neil Mackay in Scotland's *Sunday Herald*, June 27, 1999, the Turkish crime gangs at that time had been able to dominate the European heroin market, almost eliminating Russian mafia groups as competitors, because of the widespread Turkish immigrant community.

Around this time Turkish dominance of the heroin drug trade found new allies in the Kosovar Albanians (fellow Muslims), mainly belonging to the KLA, the so-called Kosovar Liberation Army, which began to dominate its supply in Britain through Kosovar refugees. McKay said that "KLA's effectiveness to operate as a major drugs cartel has been boosted by money and arms from the West meant to aid its battle against Serbia."

Move on a year and Ian Burrell writing in *The Independent* December 11, 2000, says: "A power struggle has broken out for control of Britain's £1 billion heroin market, with a new breed of Pakistani drugs syndicates emerging to challenge the supremacy of the Turkish crime gangs."

He added that the National Criminal Intelligence Service is alarmed at the rise in smuggling direct from Pakistan. "Heroin from Pakistan is already wreaking havoc in cities in Yorkshire, Lancashire and the Midlands... The change has come about because Pakistani gangs have begun using large numbers of heroin 'mules' on flights into Britain." It should be noted that daily flights from Pakistan landed at Manchester airport, and are now supplemented by flights coming into Leeds-Bradford airport.

Burrell also said in his article: "Such large-scale importation has created an environment for Britain's worst heroin problem yet, affecting inner-city estates, former pit villages and rural communities alike."

Two years later we have Donald Toon,

a spokesman for Customs and Excise saying: "We certainly see a significant involvement of Pakistani serious and organised criminals in both the importation and then the onward supply of heroin."

In September 2002 the *Yorkshire Post* reported: "Heroin with a street value of £1m is being sold every day on a mile-long road in Bradford, a crown court judge has claimed." Judge Alistair McCallum said that Bradford had one of the worst heroin problems in the country. Significantly, he added: "It (heroin) is all coming from one place - which I shan't mention - and we don't have a proper customs post at Leeds-Bradford airport to stop it coming in".

Cannabis & Cocaine

It should be noted, of course, that contrary to some media distortions Nick Griffin never said that all drugs, of all types, were being imported and sold on the streets of Britain solely by Muslims. Apart from cannabis that is home-grown by Vietnamese, the best 'weed' comes from Morocco with almost all the rest from the other Muslim states of North Africa. It is sold on our streets by white, black and Pakistani and Bangladeshi drug gangs. The supply of cocaine is the monopoly of the Columbian Cartel and comes to Britain mainly via West Africa. At one time the marketing in the UK was predominantly in the hands of white London gangsters, who are not only being replaced by Jamaican Yardies, but also by Pakistanis who are strong in such areas as West Yorkshire and Luton, as the following extract from a non-BNP blog shows (www.lionheartuk.blogspot.com).

"I have lived in Luton and surrounding areas all of my life... The Pakistani Muslims control the drug trade and are pumping heroin and crack cocaine into the community at an alarming rate. Drugs are on sale 24 hours a day and the gangs enlist the help of local taxi drivers in which to drive their drugs around in safety... These Pakistani Muslims also buy up all the local fast food shops and taxi firms to 'wash' their illegal drug money through because they are 'cash' businessmen, so can legitimise their criminal proceeds."

Finally, if Kirsty Wark and her colleagues at the BBC really thought our Chairman was exaggerating they should look in their own Radio archives for 21 January 2003. This contains a report by their Social Affairs Correspondent Bernie Choudhury. The opening paragraph reads: "A BBC investigation has uncovered disturbing evidence that Asian criminals (not Hindus or Sikhs - *Editor*) are actively seeking to corner the heroin market in Britain."

Any reader still in doubt should go to <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/2679747.stm> for the full report.

John Bean



Events of truly historic importance are like the proverbial buses for which one waits for ages, only to have several come along at once. Last month saw just such an occurrence, with the appearance of the BBC's sympathetic assessment of Enoch Powell's 'Rivers of Blood' speech, coinciding with the collapse of Bear Stearns - the fifth largest bank in the United States of America.

The two events were completely unconnected, but the things they set spinning off in ever-faster motion will inevitably become intertwined. When the history of these times comes to be written it is likely that March 2008 will be recorded as a critical milestone - the moment when a drastic loss of intellectual confidence among adherents of the multiculturalism coincided with the crumbling of the financial foundations of liberal capitalism on which such fantasies rested.

As with many British nationalists of my age and above, the speech delivered by Enoch Powell in Birmingham on April 20th 1968 provided the backdrop to several decades of my political life. Throughout the 1970s in particular, it was impossible to discuss immigration with members of the public without reference to Powell.

As time moved on, the terminology changed, from "Enoch is right" to "Enoch was right" and thence to "if only we'd listened to Enoch, before it was too late." Then, as the British National Party began more and more to illuminate such discussions from about 2002, the ghost of Enoch Powell seemed to fade away. Until, that is, last month, when a study of his 'infamous' speech in the course of the BBC's 'White Season' provided dramatic evidence of a paradigm shift in the position of the left-liberal elite.

After forty years of relentless, often brutal, demonisation, Powell was suddenly presented as a true prophet; still controversial, but broadly proven right. The Beeb didn't actually apologise but, as near as damn it, put it on record that Enoch had indeed been right all along.

It wasn't just a matter of the actual script, some of the underlying effects - the subtle propaganda techniques that strike home at a far deeper level than mere words - were brilliantly used to add power to the message. Hardly anyone even seems, for example, to have noticed the repeated almost subliminal ringing of church bells, not the familiar and joyous sound of wedding peals but the insistent, tuneless alarm that is as near to an archetypal warning of imminent deadly peril that exists in the Western subconscious.

Such elements went way beyond what was necessary in an intellectual analysis of a piece of sterile past history produced simply on account of an anniversary. Rather, their broadcast marked the end of an era of uncritical support for multiculturalism, and beginning of something

very different. Several of the other programmes in the series - notably the skin-crawlingly Islamophiliac 'White Girl', complete with dysfunctional white trash family and redeeming mosque - were, on face value at least, typical BBC liberal brainwashing, yet the appearance of such standard fare could not alter the fact that the Powell programme was something very different.

This fact was only highlighted a couple of weeks later when, despite scrupulously avoiding the use of the M*****-word, BBC's flagship current affairs programme *Panorama* finally caught up with Channel 4 and the BNP by addressing the problem of young white girls dragged into drug addiction and racist sex slavery (euphemistically called 'grooming') in English towns and cities.

When assessing both programmes, we should not be tempted to think in terms of the BNP ("we knew all this years ago, and they're still only telling the half of it") but we must see it from the point of view of the ordinary viewer, to whom the *Panorama*

The Last Days of 'Normal'

» "This is the last election that will be held in times that can be regarded as 'normal'. From here on, everything changes." This was Nick Griffin's message to a BNP pre-election meeting in Birmingham last month. Here he explains further why a unique combination of financial sector meltdown and a U-turn by the left-liberal elite, is set to destroy old loyalties and certainties, and open the way for radical political change for the better.

material will have been deeply shocking. Likewise, the Powell programme will have been taken by hundreds of thousands of apolitical or mainstream Brits as the end of decades of intellectual intimidation and a licence to think and speak more freely than has been possible for the entire adult lifetime of more than half of our entire population.

SEA CHANGE IN PUBLIC OPINION

So what is going on? Inevitably, it is impossible to be certain of what is in the minds of the senior BBC figures who have suddenly started commissioning such material. We do not have access to the secret opinion poll and focus group statistics that they do; we don't get the off-the-record briefings from senior political analysts and members of the 'intelligence community' to which they are no doubt privy. Despite these undoubted handicaps, however, it is still possible to make some informed guesses as to the various factors coming into play here.



The first is that, as we all know, there is a sea change going on in public opinion generally in relation to immigration and related issues. When even significant numbers of settled immigrants - Sikhs and West Indians especially - are warming to the BNP on account of the manifest unfairness of more recent arrivals who have never paid a penny into our collective pot leapfrogging both them and us indigenous Brits, then it would be strange if the same shift in opinion was not reflected to some extent even in the BBC.

They are, after all, only human. They have children who get mugged for their mobiles and who come home complaining that they've been spat at and abused as 'white trash'. Their fancy cars get vandalised by feral youth gangs when they're parked outside their Islington homes and the police won't do anything because that wouldn't be Politically Correct. They see the concreting over of the Green and Pleasant Land through which they drive on their way to their weekend country cottages, and find themselves thinking guilty

thoughts about the impact of immigration on the environment and quality of life in the third most overcrowded country in the world.

Just as most of our readers will know some rather liberal friend or family member who, just a few years ago, regarded the British National Party as beyond the pale, but who now expresses quiet sympathy, so too will hearts and minds be changing even in Broadcasting House. No propaganda machine is an island unto itself.

Second, in addition to the opinion shift going on in the general population as a whole, there are certain minority groups among whom their own self-interest is likely to propel an even more distinct swing in attitudes. The key factor here is the significant influence within the media of the organised homosexual and Zionist lobbies.

Traditionally, both groups have tended not only to regard British nationalism with suspicion (with, to be fair, some reason, although the consequence of opposition arising from such suspicion has been to set

up an unfortunate negative feedback loop of tit-for-tat criticism and hostility) but also to see in the 'rainbow effect' of multiculturalism a process by which a majority which might in some way oppress them is replaced by a plethora of minorities who, simply because they are minorities, will tend to be tolerant towards other minorities - particularly them.

In recent years, however, it has begun to become painfully clear to them that this rose-tinted theory is increasingly distant from reality. Brutally crude 'homophobia' is one of the hallmarks of Afro-Caribbean 'street culture', whose chip-on-the-shoulder adherents also tend to have a marked antipathy towards Jews on account of their high average success in our society and dark rumours about their past involvement in the slave trade.

PALE INTO INSIGNIFICANCE

Such concerns, however, pale into insignificance compared with both groups' very justified fears about the implications for them of the relentless spread of Islamism. In addition to the traditional murderous Koranic hostility towards Jews and homosexuals, the former are particularly loathed by modern Muslim fundamentalists on account of their perception of Israel as a 'crusader state' planted by the West in the heart of the Dar-al-Salaam, while the latter are despised as the epitome of the Western decadence which it is their religious duty to overthrow in the Dar-al-Harb.

There has been a rude awakening for anyone who thought that encouraging mass immigration of Muslims into Western Europe would make life safer for minority groups who might at times have had - or believed they had - a raw deal from traditional Christian or nationalistic societies.

So it was, with hindsight, thoroughly predictable that, while the Gerry Gables and Peter Tatchells of this land will go to their graves still stuck in the anti-British ruts in which they got stuck in their formative years, the younger generation of their ilk will tend to see things rather differently.

Faced with the choice between the replacement for dying liberalism being the BNP or Islam, it would be strange for any non-masochistic homosexual to be more worried about an expanded Clause 28 pushing homosexuality off the TV screen than about the prospect of being stoned to death under sharia law.

Likewise, while a staunch Zionist may not like our intention to withdraw British troops from the Middle East (though quite how turning Iraq from a basket-case secular dictatorship into a giant training ground for Islamist terrorists was ever going to benefit Israelis is another matter), such an aim doesn't even begin to measure up to the wholesale obliteration of Jewish

communities, identity and culture in every Muslim state in the world in recent decades.

The plain truth is that, from being a potential threat to Jewish survival, the nations of the West are increasingly obviously the lifeboat this ancient and ethno-centric people will need when the Arab demographic tidal wave swamps Israel.

There is already a growing shift among American Jewish intellectuals in particular towards a radically different stance from that of their parents in the 1960s, who in many cases spearheaded the drive towards integration and (along with gentile leftists like Senator Teddy Kennedy) the push for open borders. Significant numbers now argue against positive discrimination quotas that would favour non-whites and shut out Jewish Americans as well as European Americans from university places and well paid professional jobs.

Others are siding increasingly with the views of Dr. Stephen Steinlight in *High Noon to Midnight - why current immigration policy dooms American Jewry*, produced for the Center for Immigration Studies. This ground-breaking work explains why mass immigration now fails the old but still as valid as ever test - "is it good for the Jews?" No, it clearly is not, and triply so when it involves Muslims.

Because ethno-centrally driven policy shifts tend (Dr. Steinlight notwithstanding) to arise instinctively, in the gut-feelings and in the heads of individuals (let's leave conspiracy theories and giant green lizards to the crackpots), they will tend to emerge to start with in apparently 'one-off' ground-breaking media events like the White Season, although the series' Executive producer Richard Klein has promised more such non-PC diversity in the future.

MESSAGE OF OPINION POLLS

The third factor is probably the most important. The private opinion polls referred to earlier, together with the simple impact of reading the contents of their postbags and email boxes, gives the Great and Good of the BBC an unparalleled insight into the shifting sands of popular opinion.

Inevitably, those in charge of such powerful propaganda machines generally aim to lead public opinion than follow it, but they are also well aware of the fact that their ability to influence it at all rests on their output having credibility with the majority of the public. That credibility, in turn, is threatened if too big a gulf develops between what their propaganda preaches to the masses, and what the masses actually believe.

The most dramatic recent example of this historically recurrent theme of the out-of-touch elite was the Romanian Christmas Revolution of 1989. After weeks of state media denunciations of demonstrations

against communist rule as insignificant and organised by irrelevant would-be saboteurs, President Nicolae Ceausescu walked out onto his palace balcony to address the enormous crowd outside that he believed had come to show their support for his Marxist dictatorship. He was probably still surprised at his mistake when, after the briefest of 'trials', he was dragged to a nearby ditch and riddled with bullets.

The Romanian experience, of course, came as part of a wider collapse of a Soviet Bloc that had collectively become utterly divorced from the reality of the everyday lives of its long-suffering subjects. Everybody mouthed the Politically Correct (this was where the concept and the term originated) platitudes in public, but no one - not even the party bosses - believed in or lived by them in private (compare the BBC staff and luvvies glorifying multiculturalism and campaigning against the local BNP candidate standing in the ward covering the 'hideously white' part of the Suffolk coast in which, inexplicably, they live rather than in the glorious diversity of Brent or Tower Hamlets).

The key point to note in this regard is that the Soviet Union fell not at the moment when people ceased to believe in it, but when President Gorbachev and the majority of the Communist ruling elite lost their nerve and concluded that the gulf between them and their subjects was so

great that they needed to 'reform' their system in order to close that gap.

From ancient Greece and Rome, right through the French Revolution and into the history of modern political change, it is a commonplace that totalitarian regimes are at their most vulnerable when they feel compelled to moderate their positions and to cut their subjects a bit of slack.

Just because the left-liberals use social bullying and the threat of loss of status as their favoured weapons of repression rather than bullets or too many prison cells, this does not exclude them from the category of totalitarian. Indeed, the sheer all-pervasiveness of 'PC', combined with the ultra-revolutionary and unnatural nature of the Utopian project which it protects, makes it extraordinarily totalitarian.

Thus the BBC's new policies of Glasnost ('Openness') and Perestroika ('Reform') are not only the classic offerings of a totalitarian ruling elite that is feeling the pressure and losing its nerve. They are also typical of the "give them an inch and hope they won't take a mile" attempts of such regimes to buy-off the peasantry - and of the sort of move that very often leads to things spinning out of control and tyrants and their tyrannies meeting swift ends at the hands of the emboldened 'mob'.

This is not something that is going to happen this week, though it may have a beneficial impact on our votes on May day.

The Western World is in the economic crisis, which the BNP forswore



But it is clearly going to be a factor in our favour in the times ahead. Once people who have been oppressed feel able to speak out, the impact of their doing so raises popular awareness of the issues in question, which promptly leads to more people raising their voices.

This in turn ratchets public opinion in favour of the dissidents, thus forcing the elite to move even further in their direction, thus creating a virtuous cycle of pressure and change. Or they have to attempt the extraordinarily difficult and dangerous feat of dashing recently raised expectations. It may well take several years before this process breaks down the dam of left-liberal lies completely, but the breach that has doomed the dam was made last month by the BBC's Powell programme.

BOGUS 'RESCUE'

By one of those strange coincidences, much the same could be said for last month's \$200 Billion Federal Reserve bail-out for the US banking system and the collapse and bogus 'rescue' of the Bear Stearns Bank. This latter 'triumph' for stability is unlikely to help for long. The cynical reaction to the news from *Business Week* on 27th March summed things up:

"The Federal Reserve has stretched its mandate up, down, and sideways to prevent a financial market deluge. Now it appears to

be stretching the English language a bit as well.

"What the Fed is calling a \$29 billion 'loan' to help finance JPMorgan Chase's purchase of Bear Stearns looks much more like a \$29 billion investment in securities owned by Bear. Although the Fed insists that it isn't technically buying any assets, in practical terms it's doing exactly that. All this adds up to a big and unacknowledged step up in the central bank's financial intervention with Wall Street investment banks."

The key problem here isn't the repulsive spectacle of banks whose profits were very definitely for private consumption in the good times begging for - and receiving - aid on a scale which socialises their losses in the bad ones. It is rather that the Fed went into this crisis with roughly \$800 Billion in its bail-out war chest but has now blown half of that.

Just two more 'rescue packages' like the one that turned around the Dow Jones for less than 48 hours, and the Fed will be skint and reduced to turning on the printing presses. At that stage, we will see which the financial Masters of the Universe prefer - the savings and pensions of millions of ordinary people, or letting rip hyper-inflation to bail out the banksters from the consequences of their own avarice and corruption. No bets taken on that one!

The whole crisis, far from being resolved by the actions of the central banks last month, is in fact still in its early stages. Yet even before we move out of the sub-prime first phase, things are looking shaky. On 26th March, for example, an *Independent* headline suggested that "This Could Be The End of Capitalism as We Know It".

The article itself was no less damatic: "The Western world is in an economic crisis similar in scale to the oil shock of 1973. What we are seeing is nothing less than the unravelling of neo-liberalism - the dominant economic and ideological model of the last 30 years. The disintegration of Anglo-Saxon-inspired markets has come about largely because of the confluence of two tendencies of the 'free market': speculation and monopoly capitalism."

Three days later, the German daily *Der Spiegel* warned that "Germans Fear Meltdown of Financial System":

"Germany and other industrialised nations are desperately trying to brace themselves against the threat of a collapse of the global financial system. The crisis has now taken its toll on the German economy, where the weak dollar is putting jobs in jeopardy and the credit crunch is paralyzing many businesses."

The next stages will pile on yet more pain: Only a small proportion of the losses caused by the sub-prime debacle have yet shown up on the balance sheets of the banks and hedge funds. And with the US property crash accelerating, the losses are growing by the day, which makes it

inevitable that more big names will go down.

Among the fresh hurricanes still offshore and picking up speed as they head towards land are the realisation that US upper income households with good credit ratings are themselves just a different kind of sub-prime bubble. This is because those good credit ratings are very often not the result of regular income, but of repeated remortgaging and the use of equity in their rapidly appreciating expensive houses to pay off debts incurred through living systematically beyond their means. With house prices now falling, the collapse of the AAA mortgage debt market is inevitable.

Commercial property too - in Britain as well as the USA - has been wildly inflated by speculation, something that is running into the ground as damage to financial confidence spills over into the 'real' economy. Then there are the ticking time-bombs of Collateralised Debt Obligations, and the credit card and automatic-loan bubbles.

A number of middle ranking countries that are particularly badly in debt are increasingly vulnerable to international currency speculators and to economic reality coming home to roost. Iceland - recently described as "a hedge fund with geysers" - saw its currency drop by a staggering 18% in a few days, and the Baltic States, Hungary and Turkey are potentially liable to go down like so many dominoes in a re-run of the Far East Asian currency contagion of 1990.

PERFECT STORM

All these factors, and others simply too numerous or obscure (at present) to mention or even to spot, are combining to create a perfect storm of economic dislocation. This will be the moment when the true, ghastly folly of ignoring the warnings of Powell, and the even earlier ones of veteran nationalists like our own John Bean, will emerge.

It was always going to be relatively easy to build a temporary multi-racial utopia in Western nations flowing in abandon with the milk and honey that were by-products of the post-war boom. For all the problems caused by mass immigration and multiculturalism, the most extreme social engineering experiment of all time was always going to be possible when there was enough money to pay Danegeld to the new barbarians within our gates, and to buy the acquiescence of the natives while they "have never had it so good."

But things will be very different when the good times come to an abrupt, shuddering halt. Things will be very different when rival communities are not just jostling for bigger shares of a growing cake, but are fighting for the crusts of a shrinking, stale loaf. Things will be very different - very soon.



The BNP has been rightfully forthright in its support of Serbia. However, perhaps the Serbian situation in Kosovo suggests that we in Britain should pre-empt the Balkanisation of our own country and strike whilst the iron is hot, so to speak.

Current demographic trends suggest that indigenous Britons will be a minority in their own historic homeland by the year 2060. Trying to stem this trend is a herculean task. So, in light of the current situation in Kosovo, may I suggest a strategy that may indeed secure our homeland for our people and, if the International Community's recognition of Kosovo is anything to go by, ticks all the right boxes for current notions of sovereignty:

The indigenous British people living in Britain should declare a Unilateral Declaration of Independence. (UDI)

The similarities with the situation in Kosovo are striking. The ethnic Albanians are judged to be the rightful owners of Kosovo because they are numerically superior to the Serbs. We Britons are numerically superior to other ethnic groups. (Although this is according to the woefully out of date 2001 Census. Take a wander out of your front door in any major town or city in the UK and perhaps this strength in numbers scenario seems to be on somewhat shaky ground.)

It is said by some that the Albanians have a right to Kosovo because the Albanians are the original inhabitants of Kosovo via their antecedents back in Roman times, the Illyrians. Well then, we're the indigenous people of this land, therefore we, like the Albanians, have the right to Britain. (Funny isn't it, how this obvious and blatantly logically correct analogy, and others like it, are ignored by those who are commonly alluded to as the 'intellectual elite'?) One would have thought that a basic grasp of logical argument would be a prerequisite for being labelled 'intellectual' but apparently not when matters of ethnicity are up for debate.)

And as an example of said logical shenanigans, it appears that (stop me if you've heard this one before) we Britons aren't in fact the indigenous people of this land after all. New Labour's response to the UN's "Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples" was as follows:

"The UK emphasises that this Declaration is non-legally binding. Nonetheless it will be an important policy tool for those States that recognise indigenous peoples within their national territories, in implementing policies which help to protect indigenous peoples' rights. The UK confirms that national minority groups and other ethnic groups within the territory of the United Kingdom and its Overseas Territories do not fall within the scope of indigenous peoples to which this Declaration applies."

Yes, "those states that recognise indigenous peoples within their national territories." Like the new 'state' of Kosovo perhaps?

The cause of a post-UDI Britain will of course get further international recognition when Hamas decides to declare UDI in Palestine, ETA decide that all this blowing people up malarkey is a bit old hat and decide to "do a Kosovo" and of course when the ethnic Mexicans concur that getting Texas and California back into the Latino fold is only fitting, given the brave actions of the oppressed ethnic Albanian freedom fighters in Kosovo.

ETHNIC CLEANSING

We wouldn't of course have to defend ourselves against the charge of being a bit nasty to our former countrymen because as the persecuted majority, like the ethnic Albanians in Kosovo, we are immune to the charge of committing atrocities or "ethnic cleansing" as it is more commonly called these days. I'd never heard of this term before the wars in the former Yugoslavia. Thankfully, after watching the BBC and various other non-partisan news channels, I am now well versed in what this new-fangled term means.

Previously, I'd been under the obviously erroneous impression that ethnic cleansing meant the attempted subjugation by one

ethnic group by another. In my derisory old world view, I thought that the fact that Pakistani women in Britain have a fertility rate of 4.7 children per lifetime and Bangladeshi women 3.9, compared with the British average of 1.6, that this might have been construed as being a bit on the "ethnic cleansing" side



**Time For An
Independence**

of things too. And I'm sure I've read that the Koran explicitly commands Muslims to attempt to subjugate all non-Muslim nations in which they find themselves.

Apparently not it seems. We only ever hear of nastiness when it was carried out by the Serbs, so ethnic cleansing is only

applicable if the people doing it are white and non-Muslim. The extensive evidence that the Kosovo Liberation Army committed a multitude of atrocities against the Serbs in Kosovo and tried to push them out of their homeland is apparently irrelevant. Of course it is. After all, the KLA are, as are all Muslims, shining examples of the Religion of Peace. I can't think why anyone would think that their alleged atrocities and links to the international drugs trade is anything more than Islamophobia at its worst. No, they are fluffy, benevolent pacifists of the highest calibre.

So on the one hand, we are immune from criticism of genocide because we are the majority but on the other we are certain to be barbarous savages because we are white. This would appear to be a paradox but we will have plenty of time to explore the finer points of the ad hoc laws of liberal logic once we have secured our homeland.

So the indigenous British calling for UDI would seem to be not only morally right but in accordance with the current state of play in regard to international law and international morality. We are the majority. The ethnic Albanians are the majority. Some say the ethnic Albanians have the right to Kosovo because they are the indigenous people of Kosovo. We are the indigenous people of Britain. (But not officially of course.) If you grant autonomy to one people you must grant it to all. They must grant it to us.

And as the international community is prepared to offer full nationhood status to a country of only 2 million people, with an unemployment rate of 45%, surely there is hope for us yet. A post-UDI Britain would of course be a disaster economically. How could it not be without all the millions of enriching immigrants who have traditionally done the jobs that the workshy British have been loath to do?

Some people suggest that British workers did indeed do these jobs perfectly well before mass immigration began in the early 1960s and question the need for immigrants when, whilst relying on the aforementioned slackers during the colonial era, we ruled one quarter of the globe. So basing our economy on the work ethic of a people who built a world-wide empire might be a bit problematic. Still, I'm sure we'll qualify for some sort of aid package, perhaps similar to the £825 million recently given by Gordon Brown to the seemingly developed nation of India. And if not, we could perhaps ask the 55% of the Kosovan population who are unemployed to come over and help us out. Show solidarity with our brother freedom fighters, hands across the water and all that.

Just think about a post-UDI Britain. What a wonderful place it would be. Ok, we've given up vast swathes of our homeland, land that has been with us and within us for thousands of years. But at least we'll be free. Well, free that is apart from Birminghamistan and the Islamic Republic of Leicester on our borders making the odd call to arms against the Kuffar.

MODERATE MUSLIMS

But they will become moderate, like I'm sure the newly formed Islamic state of Kosovo will, one day, renounce their terrorism and gangsterism. Surely they will because if they don't, then that means that the UN, the EU, the US and NATO, the people we think are looking out for our best interests, have knowingly allowed an extremist Islamic state to be formed in the heartland of Europe. Surely they wouldn't do something as insane as this, would they?

So it looks like the best option for Britain is to cut her losses and admit that the Muslims will take over and make the best of a bad situation by declaring UDI for Britain. The only other feasible alternative is for someone like the BNP to work their guts out to fight against the encroachment of Islam into our land and make every effort to warn their fellow countrymen of the dangers that they face.

Maybe the first alternative is the easier option. After all, the latter one seems like such a lot of hard work, doesn't it?

» Lee Hancock (possibly with tongue-in-cheek) says the current situation in Kosovo has focused informed minds on the dangers of demographic dispossession. The spectre of Leicester, Oldham, Bradford or, the ultimate blasphemy, London, declaring a Unilateral Declaration of Independence based solely on current ethnicity of these cities has become a real and pressing issue for those who cast their eyes towards the bigger picture.

nt Britain?

Immigrants Earn More than Natives?

» Ralph Musgrove reveals the Home Office's tricks to sustain this fiction.

Last October the Home Office produced a pamphlet claiming that immigrants earn 7% more than UK natives, and pay more in tax than they receive in benefits*. Better still, apparently immigrants "contribute substantially to economic growth." This news was duly repeated on the front page of most of the UK's national newspapers.

The Home Office - that's the same 'Home Office' that has become a byword for accurate statistics on immigration and its 'fitness for purpose' - is arguably not the most trustworthy authority on these matters. Moreover, having let in far more immigrants than UK citizens are happy with, the Home Office has a vested interest in portraying immigration in the best possible light.

As to the claim that immigrants contribute to 'economic growth', all the Home Office is saying here is that if you expand the population of a country by X%, the country's economy will be X% bigger than it would otherwise have been, other things being equal. Well the average mentally retarded dog has worked this one out! Shift people from Scotland to England and what happens? Yes, Scotland's economy will contract, and England's will expand. Revelation of the century, or what?

The next flaw in the Home Office claim is that it ignores the yawning gulf between the earnings and tax paid by different immigrant groups. The apparent respectable earnings of immigrants is all down to the fact that those from English-speaking developed countries (USA, Canada, Australia, Ireland etc.) earn twice as much per person of working age as Third World immigrants. Immigrants from Europe and UK natives are roughly half way between these two extremes.

RELEVANCE

What's the relevance of this point? Well, if you say 'immigrant' to the average citizen, they do not normally think 'Canadian' or 'Australian', and they certainly don't think 'Irish'. They are more likely to think 'coloured' or 'Muslim'. This is for the very good reason that it is the latter two groups which pose the problems: the terrorism and political corruption that is rife in Muslim countries. And then there is the high level of gun and knife crime amongst Negro youths.

Moreover, while there are a large number of Irish 'immigrants' in the UK, they do not contribute to net immigration to the UK, because they migrate in a Westerly direction across the Irish Sea at the same rate as they migrate in an Easterly direction. This makes the our Irish close relatives pretty irrelevant to the whole immigration issue.

The Home Office employs a couple of other tricks to arrive at the impressive figures for immigrants' contribution to the UK.

First, there is the substantial cost of educating the children of immigrants and building roads, railways and hospitals for them. What the Home Office does is just to brush this point aside. They argue that children born in the UK with immigrant parents are UK citizens, thus the cost of such children cannot be attributed to immigration.

This is nonsense. When it comes to the alleged benefits of immigration, Indian restaurants for example, the advocates of immigration are happy enough to attribute culinary diversity to immigration even though a substantial proportion of people running ethnic restaurants were born in the UK: children and grand-children of immigrants. This is fair enough.

The large number of children and grand-children of some immigrant groups require the building of more infrastructure, and the cost of this is borne by the UK taxpayer as a whole. Moreover, it is precisely the immigrant groups that earn the least (Pakistanis, Bangladeshis etc) who have the most children. The idea that they contribute to the UK becomes even more nonsensical.

Another point ignored by the Home Office are remittances by immigrants to their home countries. The alleviation of poverty that this brings is welcome, of course, but there is no question but that this activity causes a deterioration in our balance of payments. This reduces the value of Sterling compared to other currencies, which makes UK citizens worse off when they buy any imported products: bananas, tea, coffee, foreign cars or foreign holidays.

**The Economic and Fiscal Impact of Immigration (cm 7237)* published in October 2007. HMSO, London.


See www.ethnicndo.co.uk/imm.doc for some detailed workings on the remuneration of immigrant groups

**NEW****£11 inc p&p**

Red, White and Blue 2007 Live is now available for just £11 inc p&p. This live recording from the Red, White and Blue contains 14 tracks from popular nationalist musicians and speeches from Nick Griffin and Mark Collett

Please make cheques payable to 'Great White Records': PO Box 74, Market Weighton, East Yorkshire, YO43 4WT or order online!

GREAT WHITE

www.greatwhiterecords.com
*Colin Auty**Truth Hurts***£11 inc p&p**

Truth Hurts is the stunning debut album from BNP councillor Colin Auty. This record is a personal journey from one of the party's most successful local politicians. It is available now for £11 inc. p&p.

Please make cheques payable to 'Great White Records': PO Box 74, Market Weighton, East Yorkshire, YO43 4WT or order online!

Over the past few decades British society, culture, and politics have increasingly come under the sway of America. Our common language has always made it particularly easy for us to influence each other, but, with the expansion of the media through cable and satellite TV, and the spread of 'viral trends' like blogging, internet sharing, and social media, this process is rapidly accelerating, with most of the influence flowing one way, from America to Britain, rather than the other way round.

Both in terms of substance and style, British politics has been particularly susceptible to American influences. In the past few years our political Establishment has accepted ideas like multiculturalism, political correctness, and affirmative action that clearly stem from America's unique conditions as a country with a 300-year history of high immigration, unassimilated indigenous peoples (Red Indians), and a history of racial injustice (domestic slavery). While it may be possible to make a case for some of these ideas in an American context, they have almost zero relevance to Britain, a country with a history of ethnic homogeneity going back thousands of years and the proud record of leading the way in abolishing slavery.

In addition to these alien ideas, our political Establishment has also slavishly imitated American political techniques, adopting its presidential focus on

photogenic 'zeroes,' like Tony Blair and David Cameron, and its sleaze-fuelled, media-savvy, spin-laden image politics.

Because of America's vast influence on our society and political system, it is vital that British nationalists develop a clear understanding of what America is and how this feeds trends in this country and around the World. This is not as easy as it sounds because America is not just a pile of objective facts waiting to be learned. The America you are most likely to encounter is itself the carefully honed product of image manipulation and lies, pushed by some of the most seductive and skilful propagandists on the planet, motivated by a variety of reasons, some sinister, some simply business expedience.

UNDERSTANDING AMERICA

To understand America properly, it is necessary to view it as existing on two levels. First there is the big, transcendent image created through mass media and culture, something that can easily be fed into the World's TV sets, computers, newspapers, magazines, and multiplexes. This can be called Macro America, a phenomenon that exists in contrast to Micro America, the unreported everyday reality of hundreds of millions of ordinary Americans, which can only be approached by direct experience or careful appreciation of complex facts and data.

Macro America travels easily and is everywhere. Go to your local multiplex, look at ads for Gap clothing, or watch the latest CNN report on 'Obamania' and you'll see it. In this America race is seldom an issue and when it is, it is because a few nasty Neanderthal rednecks make it so. In this America, people of different races coexist in a kind of multiracial heaven. Blacks, Whites, Asians and other races mingle as if ancestors, history, and culture had no more weight than, say, which flavour of ice cream people prefer.

In this America, criminals are overwhelmingly White; judges and police chiefs are fine, upstanding Black women and men; and cops almost invariably pair up with someone of a different race - unless, of course, they happen to be the nasty Neanderthal redneck cop at whom we are supposed to throw our popcorn! In this America, the historical contribution of non-Whites to the country's history is boosted so that you almost wonder what Whites contributed to the creation of their own country.

Even many Americans - Whites insulated from the harsh realities of multiculturalism by affluence or kids brainwashed by an education system run on Marxist lines - swallow this version of America. A recent study asked 2,000 high school students from 50 states to pick the most famous historical figures that were not presidents. [Note: *High schoolers name*

Micro America vs. Macro America



women, black Americans 'most famous'
http://www.usatoday.com/news/education/2008-02-03-most-famous-americans_N.htm

Incredibly for a country that gave us such greats as Robert E. Lee, Thomas Edison, Henry Ford, and General Douglas MacArthur, the top three places were all occupied by relative nonentities who just happened to be Black - Martin Luther King, Jr., Rosa Parks, and Harriet Tubman - showing just how much Macro America is being channelled into American schools.

Although King's words and actions had some measurable historical impact on American society, the same cannot be said for Parks and Tubman. They are extremely marginal figures, especially Parks, whose claim to fame is that she once refused to sit at the back of a bus. Despite such lightweight historical contributions, these two figures have been artificially boosted by the US education system because they tick not just one politically correct box (Black) but two (Black and Female). The fact that these comparative non-entities are promoted at all reveals that the US education system is much more interested in symbols than in facts.

The Macro America that distorts high school history in this fashion can also be found in the mouths of mainstream politicians, business leaders, diplomats, museum curators, and in the prose and prattle of almost all journalists and

reporters employed by the mainstream media.

But this unreal 'affirmative and inclusive' version of America is a dangerous lie that costs lives. Nowhere in this version of 'reality' is there space for the unpalatable fact that 90% of all interracial crime in America is committed by Blacks against Whites, including 934 Black-on-White murders in the USA in 2005.*

[*Footnote: US Department of Justice: <http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/homicide/tables/ovracetab.htm>] It stands to reason that much of this crime could have been prevented by the victims taking precautions against people who either looked suspicious or whom the victim was unable to judge accurately for racial and cultural reasons. The mistaken belief that such caution was 'racist must' undoubtedly have contributed to an unknown number of tragic robberies, rapes, and murders.

ABSURDIST PROPAGANDA

Most Americans, because they live close to the realities of Micro America, are less likely to be hoodwinked by the myths of Macro America than people elsewhere in the World. But, because of politically motivated 'affirmativeness' (i.e. reverse racism) and the financial imperative of movies and TV shows to appeal to as wide an audience as possible by including positive characters of all the different races

in the same stories, American society continues to pump out what is, in effect, absurdist multicultural propaganda.

Almost any TV show or movie not explicitly about racial problems presents us with an image of America as a harmoniously integrated society with people having partners and friends of different races. In a recent Disney movie *High School Musical* (2006), aimed at impressionable young people, almost all the characters had best friends and/or boyfriends/girlfriends of a different race. The hero, Troy Bolton, played by the White actor Zac Efron, for example, falls in love with Gabriella, a Hispanic girl, while his best friend Chad is Black. Obviously it is hard to criticise such relationships on a personal level, but the picture this movie presents of carefree racial harmony is one that is simply not found at the Micro level.

Since the civil rights movement of the 1960s, great efforts have been made and billions spent to racially integrate American society, especially the schools, all underpinned by something called 'contact theory.' By educating children of different races together, it was assumed that they would effortlessly discover a common humanity that would override their ethnic identity. More than 40 years on, however, the de facto segregation of American schools is actually increasing.

How has this happened? Quite simply by Whites voting with their feet. Rather



than see their children's future endangered by multicultural social experiments, large numbers of Whites have either opted for expensive private education or moved to areas where their children can be educated with children largely of their own race. Efforts to integrate schools have seen Whites become almost non-existent in the public school sector of large urban areas. In Dallas in 2005, only 6% of children in state education were White, and, while Macro America likes to portray any unwillingness to associate with non-Whites as bigotry characteristic of poor, uneducated Whites, practically all these kids were from poor families as the more well-off Whites had already fled the system.

A recent study by Michael Emerson and David Sikkink of Rice University shows that the more educated Whites are, the more likely they are to reject out of hand schools with a high proportion of non-Whites. Furthermore, even where schools are integrated on paper, they are often segregated at the micro level, with kids of different races choosing different subjects, clubs, and sports, and holding different proms.

The process is also mutual. Other racial groups also feel a need to segregate. One of the 'benefits' that integration was supposed to bring was that it would help close the academic gap between Blacks and Whites. In fact it had the opposite effect. Black students in racially mixed schools are invariably stigmatized as 'acting White,' when they do well, an effect that is much less apparent in schools that are overwhelmingly Black.

This is because contact between children of different cultures and races generates confusion, cultural misunderstandings, and stress. This then activates our inherent tribalism, creating identity reinforcement, leading to mutual mistrust, tension and hostility between racial and cultural groups. For these very reasons, the drive to integrate, which once involved bussing kids from different neighbourhoods to make sure they 'mixed,' has been greatly relaxed in recent years, allowing schools to re-segregate on the sly. The naïve White liberal sociologists, like Gunnar Myrdal and Gordon Allport, who initiated this social engineering experiment have only succeeded at the mythic, macro level of fictitious high schools in Disney movies.

Another goal of the civil rights movement was to create an America where people of different races lived side by side in multiracial neighbourhoods. While Macro America pretends this is a fact, Micro America contradicts it. Even when the gap between Black and White incomes greatly narrowed in the 1990s - thanks largely to 'affirmative action' programmes that gave Blacks racistly unfair employment and promotion advantages - more affluent Blacks did not move into affluent White

neighbourhoods. Instead, to enjoy the comfort that comes from living with people of one's own race and culture, they created their own affluent Black neighbourhoods. Whites, meanwhile, in order to maintain their comfort zone in a society where their numbers have dropped from 90% of the population in 1960 to around 65% today have been on the move. In 1960, Los Angeles was 72% White. By 2000, Whites were only 33%. During this period, while the myth of a harmonious multiracial Macro America was being fed to the World, America's Whites were, in effect, fleeing the multiracial reality in droves for small-town or rural White areas.

BLACK & WHITE BUDDIES

In almost every case, the micro reality is radically different from the macro myth. Instead of the Black and White buddies familiar to us from such movies as "Lethal Weapon" and TV series like "Miami Vice," the vast majority still associate, marry, go to church, and live in neighbourhoods with their own kind. Even in prison, where people lack the freedom to make choices, Black and Hispanic gangs fight for dominance and White gangs fight for survival, despite an official policy of integration that simply exacerbates these natural tensions.



An even more striking example of the disjunction between Micro and Macro America can be found in the current political campaign being fought to select the Presidential candidates. Right now we are in the middle of a tight contest between Senator Hillary Clinton and Senator Barack Obama to become the Democratic Party candidate.

We have heard much in our media about how the success of the untried senator from Illinois, whether he ultimately becomes the Presidential candidate or not, shows that race no longer matters in US politics, as people cross racial boundaries to vote for him or his opponent. This is the Macro America tag line. It sounds plausible and convincing and even carries a story pleasing to the hearts of left-wing liberals. That is until you actually start looking at the details.

Obama was initially unpopular with American Blacks who didn't like the fact that he was the child of a White mother and an African father. African Blacks are often resented by American Blacks, partly because they didn't suffer slavery at the hands of Whites, partly because they are often better educated, but mainly because they are simply ethnically and culturally different. However, with only a charmless, power-mad, White ex-First Lady as an alternative, Blacks have gradually warmed

Obama gained the votes of rich white 'Macro' Americans

overwhelmingly choose race over gender.

On Super Tuesday, when the candidates divided the vote between them, it emerged that Clinton scored well with Hispanics and poorer Whites, while Obama received a lot of support from better off Whites. Paul Begala a Democratic strategist told CNN television that Clinton carried voters who make less than \$15,000 a year, while Barack Obama attracted those who earn more. A similar pattern emerged in the recent contests in Ohio and Texas, where Hilary's faltering and badly managed campaign was saved by the large Hispanic Democrat vote in Texas and the large working class White vote in Ohio.

This is revealing because it means that those who actually live, work, and have their children educated in closest proximity to Blacks - namely Hispanics and poorer Whites - are reluctant to vote for a Black

acceptance at the macro level, through the ballot box, is obviously a lot easier than at the micro level, which would involve living in multiracial neighbourhoods and sending their kids to mixed schools.

With our own democracy compromised by the growing power of the EU and the refusal of the Lib-Lab-Con parties to countenance a referendum on the new EU constitution, some segments of our media have favourably contrasted democracy in America with our own system. But the fact is that, in Clinton vs. Obama, voters are simply being offered a choice between two globalist, high-immigration-favouring representatives of Macro America, one of whom will face off against Senator John McCain, a globalist, high-immigration-favouring Republican, who endorses the same Macro myths of multiracialism while much of his voting base lives in gated and guarded monoracial communities.

Hillary Clinton gained the votes of the white working class and Hispanics



HYPOCRISY & SCHIZOPHRENIA

What the hypocrisy and schizophrenia of America teaches us is that democracy is not simply a political phenomenon, it is also cultural. Where political leaders represent the common people we say that that country is a democracy, where they don't we call it a tyranny. Likewise we can say that a country's projected culture, identity, and image can either be democratic or tyrannical according to the degree to which it reflects and represents the reality on the ground. The yawning chasm between the real concerns and problems of Micro America and the fatuous, vacuous, feel-good rhetoric and image manipulation of Macro America reveals that America is, in effect, a cultural tyranny.

What makes this all the more apparent is the degree to which Americans are aware of their cultural oppression but refuse to directly challenge it. Rather than calling into question the tyrannical liberal myths of multiculturalism, political correctness, and affirmative action, those that can, readily flee to schools and neighbourhoods where they can secretly practice the state heresy of racial self-segregation, while using the thought processes of Orwellian doublethink to claim that they are simply escaping 'crime,' 'pollution,' 'congestion,' or 'poor educational standards,' rather than a dysfunctional multiculturalism and the racial and social chaos it brings on the micro level.

As our own society is increasingly distorted along similar lines, we in the British nationalist movement must develop our awareness of the ways in which this kind of hypocrisy, social schizophrenia, and creeping cultural tyranny distorts reality, stifles the true representation of the people, and leads to the disenfranchisement and destruction of communities and countries. America, with the clear contrast between its macro and micro levels, is a prime example.

to Obama and his Black American wife, and now see him as one of their own. In recent primary elections, they have overwhelmingly voted for him.

Interestingly, Black women when presented with the choice of seeing America's first female President or first Black President,

candidate; while those who are more insulated from multiracial realities are accordingly more willing to endorse the feel-good myths of Macro America and embrace the vacuous sloganeering and Hollywood-supported bling of Barack Obama. For affluent liberal Whites, racial

Dear Mr. Littlejohn,


Every time you refer to the British National Party in your excellent column in *The Daily Mail* you attach the adjective 'odious'. I am genuinely anxious to know whether this appellation is based on something you know about this political organisation, or whether it is simply a figure of speech like 'innocent civilians'. There are, presumably, 'guilty' civilians, and perhaps there is somewhere a BNP, or part of the BNP, you would not deem 'odious'.


The usual reason people use terms like 'odious' when referring to the BNP is because this organisation is held to be 'racist', whatever that term may variously mean to those using it. But I find it hard to understand why you could find racism objectionable. Your writings show your values to be rooted in what England was, rather than what it has recently degenerated into. And the England of my youth, in the 1940s and 1950s, was racist to the core. To the English seaman or working man, foreigners were frogs, wops, dagoes, squareheads, krauts. I forget what they called Arabs, 'towelhead' being a recent coining. Colonel 'Mad Mitch' Campbell in his autobiography written as recently as 1969 referred to them as 'third-rate, fly-blown chaps'.

What all the clever people who rightly call these attitudes offensive have failed to realise is that, offensive or not, they are essential to the nationhood and national morale of any Germanic country, and an Anglo-Saxon country is essentially Germanic. They are really directed inwards, not outwards. Other peoples, whether, Zulus, Red Indians, Chinese or whoever, are social peoples. It is the family, the tribe, the clan, respect for authority, which draw them together and form their societies, the background of common race being merely incidental. The Russians were communist, not because of politics, but because

» We would suggest that the real function of *Daily Mail* columnist Richard Littlejohn is to act as a safety valve in diverting the anger of its readers at our loss of sovereignty to the EU, the immigration flood, and ever rising crime. What his readers must not do is to support the BNP, who he regularly vilifies. We publish below a letter written by Mr I.W. Fotheringham to Littlejohn. All of his views are not necessarily those of the BNP.

A History Lesson For Richard Littlejohn





they are, by nature, a communal people. But the Nordic peoples of north-west Europe are loners and lack these cements. Tacitus wrote of the ancient Germans in the first century A.D. "...none of the Germanic peoples dwell in cities, and they do not even tolerate houses which are built in rows. They dwell apart, and at a distance from one another, according to the preference which they may have for the stream, the plain, or the grove..."

Their stand-offishness extended to their offspring, which Andrea Trevisano, the Venetian, found so extraordinary in 1498: "...the want of affection in the English is strongly manifested towards their children; for after having kept them at home till they arrive at the age of 7 or 9 years at the utmost, they put them out, both males and females, to hard service in the houses of other people, binding them generally for another 7 to 9 years. And these are called apprentices..."

Sending one's son to be a page in a castle 150 miles away, the distant Victorian nursery, or the boarding school, are all aspects of this cold characteristic.

ORIGIN OF CAPITALISM

In his book *The Culture of Capitalism*, Alan Macfarlane of King's College Cambridge postulates that the true origin of capitalism is not the Industrial Revolution but the propensity of the English to accumulate wealth, discernible right back to the thirteenth century. Whereas the Polish peasant would always be looked after by his family in old age and given a place at the fireside, the Englishman, in his bloody minded individualism, had lost touch with or forfeited the love of his family long ago, and unless he accumulated wealth his old age would be grim.

So what was the cement which prevented a group of Danes or English from being just a scattering of disparate individuals? It was of course the tacit recognition of common blood. When an Englishman was rude to or about foreigners he was in effect saying 'If I do not have occasion to make any of these remarks about you, then you must be one of us.'

This concept of 'blood' or 'race' is the essential cement for any Anglo-Saxon or German. The Germans of Germany only became a nation state under Bismarck, but long before that they knew who the Germans were, and they included all peoples of Germanic blood whether or not living in what was to become Germany including those living in the Czech Sudetenland and the Volksdeutsch who had lived along the Volga since the seventeenth century.

The original name of the West Saxons as they rampaged through and conquered the previously Celtic lands of south-west England in the sixth century was the 'Gewissae' meaning 'those

known to each other' or 'the confederates'. (German: wissen, to know). A very free translation of the meaning and significance of this name might be as follows:

"You do not have to be a West Saxon to join with us in driving these Welsh foreigners out of what will become Hampshire, Wiltshire and Dorset and conquering it for ourselves. You can be Jute, Dane (Hengist was a Dane), Varni, Frisian, Angle, Frank or Swede, provided you are a free German of some kind or other and a 'proud warsmith' and speak some variant of our Gothic mother tongue. Come and join our federation."

It amounts to this. To be a North European is to be a member of a racial freemasonry of stubborn, independent individuals whose other binding links, apart from racial fellow-feeling are weak.

DEFEAT OF NATIONS

If you wish to destroy the Zulu nation, you defeat their army, dress their king in a frock coat and take him to tea with Queen Victoria; it is as effective as killing the queen bee in a hive. If you are English, or a Scottish Highlander, wishing to destroy the culture of the Scottish Highlanders you outlaw the tartan, the chieftains and the Gaelic language, as was done after 1746. If you wish to destroy the Red Indians you hire Buffalo Bill to kill their buffalo and drive them off their hunting grounds. If you wish to destroy the culture of the Arabic nations, you somehow have to be able to demonstrate to them that their religion is a sham.

But if your aim is to destroy a Germanic nation like the English then destroy their pride of race. Outlaw their natural racial feelings, outlaw discrimination, outlaw their natural ways of treating men according to their deserts rather than 'equally' and convince them that this is all done in the name of a Jacobinist democracy which is in fact an egalitarian perversion of the Englishman's thirst for individual liberty but which you convince them is integral to their culture.

Make them believe that the individual rights they have always fought to defend are not theirs alone but belong equally to that vast majority of mankind who may have fought for their tribal or corporate freedoms (e.g. Holy Mother Russia) but would never have conceived of the individual as having rights as against the greater whole. Achieve these things and the job is done. You will have destroyed the nation's culture, character, spirit and of course its homogeneity. You will have killed the ghost in the machine. And it can all be done in sixty short years.

And of course it will assist materially if you can get the media on your side. Get them to continually urge the avoidance of all actions which might damage race relations or cause offence to those ethnic minorities which are destined to be ethnic majorities when you have triumphed. But there is one danger you have to guard against. The English, being honest, are not subtle but nor are they fools and, at root, they are like all Nordics (and Nordic-Celts - *Editor*) a warrior nation and their warriors are as good as any in the world. If they should come to understand that if the Spaniards had followed these precepts from 781 to 1492 that the 'men of goodwill' and the media are currently advocating then Spain would now be an Arab nation and its religion would be Islam, they might, just might, take the action necessary to preserve themselves, and all your plotting will have been in vain.

And that action might include joining the BNP which, from my few observations, comprises old-fashioned English, Scots and Welsh people who still believe something might be done to save this country.

Yours sincerely,
I.W. Fotheringham TD, MA (Oxon)
Camberley

P.S. Malice motivates those who have conspired to destroy us. Their unwitting co-conspirators, who ought to be on our side, are actuated by ignorance, thoughtlessness and a dash of fear.

Someone finally said it.
How many are actually paying attention to this?
There are African Americans, Mexican Americans,
Asian Americans, Arab Americans, etc.
And then there are just Americans.

You pass me on the street and sneer in my direction.
You call me 'White boy,' 'Cracker,' 'Honkey,'
'Whitey,' 'Caveman' ... and that's OK.

But when I call you, Nigger, Kike, Towel head,
Sand-nigger, Camel Jockey, Beaner, Gook, or Chink ...
You call me a racist.

You say that whites commit a lot of violence against you,
so why are the ghettos the most dangerous places to live?
You have the United Negro College Fund.
You have Martin Luther King Day.
You have Black History Month.
You have Cesar Chavez Day.
You have Yom Hashoah.
You have Ma'uled Al-Nabi.
You have the NAACP (National Association for the
Advancement of Coloured People).
You have BET.

If we had WET (White Entertainment Television) we'd be racists.
If we had a White Pride Day, you would call us racists.
If we had White History Month, we'd be racists.
If we had any organization for only whites to 'advance'

OUR lives we'd be racists.

We have a Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, a Black Chamber of
Commerce, and then we just have the plain Chamber of
Commerce.

Wonder who pays for that?

A white woman could not be in the Miss Black American
pageant, but any colour can be in the Miss America pageant.
If we had a college fund that only gave white students
scholarships you know we'd be racists.

There are over 60 openly proclaimed Black Colleges in the US.
Yet if there were 'White colleges' THAT would be a racist college.
In the Million Man March, you believed that you were marching
for your race and rights. If we marched for our race and rights,
you would call us racists.

You are proud to be black, brown, yellow and orange, and you're
not afraid to announce it. But when we announce our white pride,
you call us racists.

You rob us, carjack us, and shoot at us. But, when a white police
officer shoots a black gang member or beats up a black drug-
dealer running from the law and posing a threat to society, you
call him a racist.

I am proud.

But you call me a racist.

Why is it that only whites can be racists?

There is nothing improper about this e-mail. Let's see which of
you are proud enough to send it on. (Hope you read *Identity*!)



Proud to be White

» The above is an e-mail that was sent to *Identity* from an unknown source in the United States. It has considerable relevance also to the people of Britain.

Michael Richards is better known as Kramer from TV's *Seinfeld*. This was his defence speech in court after making racial comments in his comedy act. He makes some very interesting points (Not all are unanswerable, and we neither use nor approve of racist epithets for any group of human beings but the general point is valid)

» Ian Buckley on the globalisers' pet politicians:

Nightmare Britain

At a recent New Labour conference, Gordon Brown promised us the 'Britain of your dreams'. How isolated can you get? Electorally-orientated promises are one thing, but the in reality the exact opposite is always delivered - in ever-increasing doses - the Britain of your nightmares!

Consider the fate of 12,000 employees of the Department of Work and Pensions, who have been forced by government *diktat* to the other side of the counter, as it were, in order to save money. A union spokesman described the cuts as a measure which forced the remaining staff to do much more with much less. These losses, in a flagship government department, somehow suggest the spectacle of a snake swallowing its own tail. Now Gordon's gang would claim that unemployment is very low and falling, while we say it is high, though disguised by official chicanery.

But which viewpoint does this massive tranche of job losses confirm? We may note in passing that 81% of jobs created during the decade of New Labour have gone to foreigners, a grotesque figure by anyone's standards. It is all of a piece with an apparently endless list of failure.

There was Brown's infamous sale of gold a few years back (at its lowest point for decades), a sale which was quite possibly made merely to establish the Thatcherite Labour party's business-friendly credentials. If so, the gesture has proved much more expensive than anyone could have imagined, with the rise in the price of gold meaning that the cumulative loss to the British taxpayer now stands at £4 billion.

Under the hand of Gordon's gang our country has become ever more shabby and stupid. While they waste millions on unworthy causes, Jodrell Bank faces closure. But what's the need for radio telescopes in hire-and-fire Britain, a land of casual labour, eventually - we may presume - intended to supply the hairdressers and lavatory cleaners for the rest of Europe?

The remaining cultural and scientific infrastructure of this country is very vulnerable to the ignorant, 'anti-elitist' functionaries of New Labour. Such things only appeal to a narrow section of society, they claim. Events with mass appeal are necessary in order to be 'inclusive': maybe pitched gladiator fights in Wembley Stadium between groups of MPs would fit the bill exactly!

This Government, as demonstrated by John Hutton's recent speech, is besotted by the super-rich. We must at least be grateful to Hutton as a rare politician who actually states what he really believes. The bought-out Labour party demonstrated its contempt for the workers at the now closed Remploy factories. Disabled workers are expected to find 'work' outside a protected environment, when almost all that 'work' goes to foreigners specially imported for the purposes of cheap labour. Will they be successful in their quest? Hutton and Co think so, but we may have our doubts.

Yet while the canons of common decency are ignored, certain spending is sacrosanct, such as the £4 billion funnelled down a bloodstained cloaca, paying for involvement in armed conflicts that have nothing to do with us.

NORTHERN CROCK

Rescuing finance houses from their own folly is also considered to be fit and proper spending by bogus Labour. Northern Rock - better known to its depositors as Northern Crock - risked their money by gambling in the sub-prime market, only to be bailed out by the taxpayer to the tune of £100 billion. While Mr Ron Sandler may be an efficient new chairman of NR, one may wonder whether he really deserves £90,000 a month, also from the British taxpayer. Of course, the government/taxpayer has acquired assets from Northern Rock, but in the Alice in Wonderland world of banking 'assets' are debts! Also, sub-prime casino or not, the banking business will be inherently unstable as long as it only holds 8-10% of deposits, with the rest sloshing around in the international money markets.

It really is a case of two different worlds. On one hand we have the mega-wealthy globalisers, so loved by fake Labour and on the other we have the downtrodden 'peasants'. Bridgend is a former mining town in South Wales that has struggled to attract new industry. Pawnbrokers, charity shops, drink and drugs abound; a spate of almost twenty suicides of young people has taken place over the last year or so. According to their own testimony, the best that any young person in Bridgend has to look forward to is a job stacking shelves in Tesco.

Here then we have the Britain of your nightmares that has formed under the globalisers' pet politicians. The eventual reward for their compliance in the ruination of Britain will be memoir deals and seats on the board of the Carlyle Group. As the old saying has it, it's the rich that has the pleasure, and the poor that gets the blame.

John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

Betrayal of the Old Commonwealth

As we go to press a media report says that the wife of a British soldier about to be posted to Afghanistan, and the mother of their two children, is to be deported and she has been told that no appeal will be allowed. The two children, aged one and two years, will be taken into care. The mother's 'crime' is that she is a Canadian citizen, although her mother was born in Britain, and this must be considered more heinous than some Somalia paedophile rapists and Pakistani drug dealers who were convicted in the courts last month but with no recommendation for deportation. I can imagine some jumped up civil servant with socialist leanings, possibly a former babu Indian clerk, making this decision. It may be a cliché, but the expression of wanting to spit blood comes to mind

Bear in mind that this Government has just published a Green Paper on immigration and citizenship that raised the question of whether the ancestry visa, that has allowed our old Commonwealth citizens to come visiting the "old country" and taking up temporary jobs, should be abolished. New Labour's thinking, as also the Lib Dems, is that it is perfectly alright to allow in Pakistani and Somali peasants and nearly one million East Europeans (and to be rewarded with their votes) but not our kith and kin from Canada, 'Down Under', or white South Africa. I have not noticed any Tory MPs jumping up in the House of Commons demanding that the proposals go no further.

Even a BNP governed Britain could not "go it alone" and we will need to tighten, not loosen, our trading preferences and military alliances with the old white dominions. For that reason we have many supporters and members in Australia, New Zealand and Canada. When Mandela dies the bulk of the white South Africans will be either here, elsewhere in Europe, or in Australia. In time the Marxist bureaucracy of the European Union will collapse and Britain, the old Commonwealth countries and the historic nation states of Europe can join in a socio-economic cooperation of the European peoples. The cemeteries of France and Belgium are the final resting places for many white Commonwealth citizens who lost their lives in defence of "the motherland" and who twice helped to rescue Europe from tyrants.

This is an appropriate place to mention a new magazine, *Destiny*, from Australia which supports the Australian Protectionist Party. They are protectionist in that they want to protect the Australian way of life and culture, particularly from asianisation and global capitalism. *Destiny* has similar articles to those you find in *Identity*. I recommend it. Details from PO Box 722, Croydon, Vic.3136. Australia, or www.protectionists.org/destiny

Who Abuses Our Service People?

During his service in the RAF my son-in-law was based for a period in the late '80s at RAF Wittering, near Peterborough. He had no problems then of wearing his uniform on visits to Peterborough. It had among the population a number of Italians who worked hard in the local brickfields; its quota of ill-educated 'poor whites' - who we would now call chavs; and a sprinkling of very quiet, well-

behaved Muslims from Pakistan and Bangladesh. It is puzzling, therefore, to wonder who the people are who have abused our servicemen and women for wearing their uniforms in the fenland City, as well as some other towns and cities in recent months.

The hostility from 'unknown' people in Peterborough was widely reported by the BBC and the national press, but the very fact that not one of these media sources even suggested who they might be made us wonder if it could be those people, once a quiet, non-committal community, who have now grown arrogant in their demands as a result of their growing numbers and the kow-towing by the Lib-Lab-Cons and decadent churchmen. Yes, I mean a sector of the Muslim population. Well that is certainly the opinion of one of our readers who lives in Peterborough and also a customer of my village local whose wife comes from there.

The lady officer in charge of RAF Wittering (I am happy with good women pilots but is that another PC step too far?) has said that the abuse of our servicemen and women came from "a cross-section" of the community. If not a get out, then it meant that it included our own people. We can fully understand disagreement with the British military presence in Iraq, Afghanistan, or any other area of no direct threat to Britain, but in that case they should harangue a few MPs, not our service people. Furthermore, our armed forces are not being fooled by Gordon Brown's effusive calls for their right to wear their uniforms in Britain and to have a special day for 'honouring' our service people. What they want - and the BNP would see that they get it - is the best equipment available to carry out the defence of British interests. The cost? There are quite a few savings that can be made in the millions spent in handouts to the foreigners flooding into our country and posing a greater threat to our evolved way of life in the long term than that from the Taliban.

The BNP would also eliminate the insulting practice of paying more for work injuries received by MoD civilian employees than for permanently crippling injuries received by servicemen and women on the front line. A classic example last month was the £202,000 award to an office employee who strained his back picking up a printer. In contrast, 18-year-old Pte Jamie Cooper suffered serious internal injuries, a shattered pelvis, and also damaged his leg and lost the use of a hand when hit by two mortar rounds in Basra. He received just £57,587.

One London Seat Will Be A Victory

I feel a little concerned at the over enthusiasm of some BNP members and supporters who talk of our winning two or three seats on the Greater London Assembly on May 1st. They base their optimism on the argument that in 2004 we were only 0.3% short of obtaining one seat and since then the BNP has more than doubled its membership, is now almost a household name and that Richard Barnbrook's London Mayoral campaign is much stronger than that of 2004. The problem is that in the past four years up to a million immigrants have arrived in the capital, and many hundreds of thousands of white Londoners have moved out. Therefore, if we retain our 4.7% vote that would be a success in one sense. Although we would find it disappointing, for us long term Nationalists it would certainly not be dispiriting: the fight would go on, because there is no alternative.

To gain one seat on the GLA will certainly be a victory. Two seats would mean we would be on the verge of a political revolution.

My realism, not pessimism, is based on the fact that Labour is going flat out to motivate the



These brave men and women have earned our respect

immigrants to get out and vote for Livingstone. Their objective is also to increase the total number of votes recorded so that they can try to get the BNP percentage reduced below the 5% barrier. An example of how many immigrant votes there are for Labour to winkle out comes in an e-mail to me from a BNP London activist. Who said: "...while collecting nomination signatures for Richard Barnbrook in Lambeth, I came across a two bedroom council flat with 18 Nigerians in it registered to vote!"

Then on March 19th an article in *The Times* said that postal voting cheats are a threat to the May elections. Judge Richard Mawrey, QC stated that the rules for postal votes were "fatally flawed" and that postal voting on demand was "lethal to the democratic process". He added that the current system made "wholesale electoral fraud both easy and profitable" and accused politicians of failing to act after past scandals. He urged sweeping reforms to electoral law dealing with corruption.

As *The Times* reported, his comments came as he found a Conservative councillor guilty of vote rigging by using postal ballots in the names of hundreds of "ghost voters" fraudulently added to the electoral register. Eshaq Khan was stripped of his council seat in Slough and banned from holding office for five years after being found guilty of corrupt practices.

Another hurdle the BNP has had to face in elections in various parts of the country, and London in 2004, is interference with the ballot boxes in transition to the

count, where BNP votes mysteriously go missing. This is not paranoia but fact!

We would ask all *Identity* readers who have relatives or friends in the greater London area to phone or e-mail them and ask them, whatever party they normally support, to take this chance of calling for a halt to mass immigration by voting for Richard Barnbrook, British National Party. The worst they can do is to cross you off their Christmas card list.

'Late news: the BNP's prospects of winning a London Assembly seat have been increased by winning the Gooshays ward by-election in Havering (North-East London) with 38% in a six party fight (UKIP was last). Needless to say, this important result was ignored by the media.

The Slump - Protect British Jobs

In a blog dealing with green matters, *The Times Online* of March 14th had an article entitled: "Is peak oil theory only for fascists?" Surprise, surprise this well-worn epithet referred to us. The article began:

"Which British political party has the following observations about peak oil on its website?

"The Greens? The Lib Dems? Think again. The British National Party has a pretty good grasp of peak oil. 'We are the only political party making this an issue at the moment,' the party boasts."

The Times Online continues: "Here's another quote. 'When the BNP does win political power, peak oil will not be something we can postpone. In fact it may well be an important catalyst that helps us to win political power because we are the ones talking about it now. Voters might not like us pointing out that the wolf is approaching the chicken coop but they will identify us as the ones who kept speaking about it, bringing it to their awareness and understanding'."

The above is another sign of the breakthrough the BNP is now making in the media that it is not just a 'stop immigration and quit the EU' party. Let us hope that acknowledgement will also be given to us for predicting the virtual collapse of global capitalist expansion. What we are seeing in the world markets is now acknowledged as the worst slump since the 1930s. The US economy is about to be buried by an unstable mountain of debt, with Britain likely to head for the same scenario.

This has all come about because the so-called boom of the global economy was only sustainable with perpetual growth, which meant ever increasing debt for the consumers of its products, whether BMWs from Germany, cheap TVs from China, or mortgages for house buyers.

The origins of this slump can be traced to the United States joining the North American Free Trade Area (NAFTA), which accelerated the decline in US manufacturing, including the car industry, with jobs being exported to where labour costs were cheaper. Sounds familiar to British workers? NAFTA also meant that workers from poorer countries, principally Mexico, could come through America's porous borders and take up jobs in service industries, or even the desperate motor manufacturers looking to cut costs. In Britain, for NAFTA read EU and for Mexicans read East Europeans (apologies to Polish readers for the comparison).

In order to maintain the debt system and to keep the whole show on the road, the hedge fund sector of the global capitalists came up with the bright idea of offering mortgages to America's poor, including millions of immigrants, many of whom would soon lose any worthwhile jobs and thus the ability to pay off the mortgage. So, as part of the debt-based system, their debts were sold off round the world to various country's equivalents of Northern Rock. Their greed overcame common sense.

We would again repeat that although we cannot isolate ourselves completely from the erratic behaviour of the world's money markets, the way of modifying its effects is to retain and regain British ownership and control of British industry and

resources, including financial resources, as well as oil and gas, water and electricity. We must give protection for industry and jobs by selecting tariffs on foreign manufactured goods that we can make competitively ourselves.

We should also take natural monopolies such as electricity, gas, water, the railways, and even the Post Office back into public ownership.

New Identity Website

This month we're proud to unveil the new *Identity* website, it can be found at www.identitymagazine.org.uk. Members and supporters can now buy the magazine online as well as browse a growing a library of online back issues. Keep checking back over the coming months as more content is uploaded and classic articles and artwork become available for download.



All the best to Richard for May 1st



The BNP are the only party supporting British Workers

Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: identity@bnp.org.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> BNP Smear Is Missing The Facts

I write in response to the clearly emotive and frankly offensive letter "Beware claims from the BNP"

(*News & Star*,

February 6). The unknown author reveals himself as a far-left extremist by his claim "I know that their political mentor is Adolf Hitler." If that alone wasn't enough to totally ridicule his attempted smear he continues by trying to counter our literature distributed to homes all over Carlisle by so-called "hard facts".

I would point the unknown extremist to the recent "hard facts" figures stated by Chief Constable Mike Fuller that "Migrants have sent our crime rates soaring" as he blames a 35% rise in violence on new arrivals into the country. As if we needed any more proof, the Chief Constable goes on to state "Government predictions have proved unreliable."

The rest of the letter is just a direct copy of the illegal anti-BNP smear material put out by such political puppets and as such gains only the contempt it and the author deserves from me.

I finish by quoting the only sensible comment in the letter. "I think readers are intelligent enough to make up their own minds."

Clive Jefferson

Cockermouth, Cumbria BNP Press Officer

This letter was published in the News & Star (Carlisle). It was chosen by the Editor as Letter of the Month and the author receives a year's subscription to Identity.

>> Where's The Vibrant Economy?



The fading echo of "stable, vibrant economy, low unemployment, low interest rates, stable inflation," is still vibrating in my mind because it has been said so many times, and even recently by Gordon. Now an intensifying noise, "credit crunch, negative equity, rising inflation, recession, and stagflation!"

Has the economic situation changed so fast that Gordon did not have an inclination of what was to befall? The man who is supposed to have his finger on the economic pulse!

I think it was probably a matter of the Emperor's clothes. Gordon would not

believe it even if told the bad news. Can he now really try to justify his behavior by claiming "circumstances beyond his control?" One would hope he must have been aware of the influence of the global economy, which is now creaking under its own contractions.

The PM, with the air of someone who has reached his goal and is not too bothered about the future, indifferently, but politically, advises Alistair Darling who in turn manically hangs on to the reins of the runaway economic coach.

James Marsden
Halifax

>> ALF & Soft Targets

I totally endorse Lee Hancock's comment in the *Where's the Bear?* article in the January issue that the animal rights lobby keep a shameful silence on the halal meat issue. I say this as a former member of the

Animal Liberation Front for a number of years until I became very disillusioned.

It is pretty obvious why nothing is done about the Islamic and Jewish methods of slaughter. It is an issue the ALF dare not face and quite possibly the raggle-taggle gang who operate the "raids" are linked to left wing groups who now have members from the ethnic minorities. This could well stand investigation.

Liz Bayly
E-mail

>> Oliver Cromwell's Words Of Advice



On re-reading Oliver Cromwell's speech on the Dissolution of the Long Parliament, given to the House of Commons on 20th April 1653, I was struck by how almost every word applies today.

"It is high time for me to put an end to your sitting in this place, which you have dishonored by your contempt of all virtue, and defiled by your practice of every vice; ye are a factious crew, and enemies to all good government; ye are a pack of mercenary wretches, and would like Esau sell your country for a mess of pottage, and like Judas betray your God for a few pieces of money.

"Is there a single virtue now remaining amongst you? Is there one vice you do not possess? Ye have no more religion than my horse; gold is your God; which of you have not barter'd your conscience for bribes? Is there a man amongst you that has the least care for the good of the Commonwealth?

"Ye sordid prostitutes have you not defil'd this sacred place, and turn'd the Lord's temple into a den of thieves, by your immoral principles and wicked practices? Ye are grown intolerably odious to the whole nation; you were deputed here by the people to get grievances redress'd, are yourselves gone! So! Take away that shining bauble there, and lock up the doors. In the name of God, go!"

Lance Stewart
Spalding

They
AB
VERY child
sted again
ported last
The Depart
Welsh r
it Blun
race s

AVID Blunhett
yesterday by
n at the Welsh
anti-racism
The Home S
used ethnic
rkers should
ed in their
m quipped: "Co
a succeeded.
ing Welsh."
Harder Jack
so has domina
on for more
ade, is black
rn in Cardiff
Mr. Blunhett
ing an Anna
y after Welsh
n took offence
a during a me
ack and Asian

BNP
elec
150
FR

driver racially
and assaulted by
a woman
yesterday
Farcachan was
the ground and
ed so tight he has
at what seems
suffered "valley
gas"

h
syl

revised order o
convert a hotel in
centre for more than
the
Office admitted th
small residents, and
its could lead to the
day at the Council
at the Council
the first
to arrive in the day
the council
civil servants for
not further by an
independent inquiry
of the National Anti
e (NARS), the branch
responsible for the
usually strong language
she said she was
d the way the act
d she told the BBC
"a terrible situation
I because the person
had been satisfactory
from the NARS."

00
rkis

d a rival Kurd
last November
nps are fighting
contests of the h
battle - involving
life support mach
family are
a Gray Wolves
the Strella
tentative agree
believe they are
numbers in Brit
r members were
road in Edgewood
their 21 million
also raided a
ing and believe
ing crime drive

BY SUZANNE ST
FORMER NARS I
ried out a new as
a system which, ab
sented yesterday
If planning permis
also need to be i
ncludes new (Gospo
hile their "lunar" i
The site is smaller
ing pursued by me
hile for "cosmo to
house on former
water, Oxfordshire
estate in North
best of planning
integrat on Mon
sion, and consid
as he notes, collect
ing near the site
commodation cen
the

AL
SYI

A SEC
fighter he
he said
he was
he was
he was
he was

P22

free flat beca

COME

ANGER

Anger

Davos & the Scarcity of World Resources

The exasperating refusal of our political masters in the West to discuss any aspect of world overpopulation and the globalist addiction to limitless economic growth received a timely rebuttal at the World Economic Forum at Davos in Switzerland, January 26th, in that, for at least some of the 2,500 delegates, the awful truth of a great natural disaster in the making had become plain and should no longer be suppressed.

Pre-eminent amongst the alarms sounded was that of the imminent scarcity of basic natural resources and the inexorable rise of Third World populations.

The United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon voiced his fears of the coming dearth of water, world-wide. "Population growth will make the problem worse. Many more conflicts lie just over the horizon." He reminded the delegates that the conflict in Dafur in the Sudan had been initiated by a drought. "As the population grows so will its thirst."

In many emerging countries rising food prices are causing civil disorder with recent demonstrations in Mexico, Mauritania, Morocco and Senegal.

Kamal Nath, India's Trade Minister, warned that the price of some foodstuffs in his country had doubled at a time when 25 million people were estimated to have moved from taking one to two meals a day.

Analysts forecast that world agricultural commodity prices are set to increase, particularly cereals, because of global demand and because countries such as Australia were being forced out of wheat production by reason of rising temperatures and the uneconomic, high price of fertilizers.

The participating delegates left the audience in no doubt that those countries most likely to suffer from the coming failure of natural resources would no longer remain silent and submissive, but become eager followers of an emergent leader of the Coloured World Revolution.

Those of us who, for years now, have sought to bring to public attention the Malthusian prediction of a world population exhausting finite resources

» This year's Davos Conference revealed that the ever expanding Third World population is accelerating the growing scarcity of the world's natural resources, now including food as well as oil and minerals.

Alastair Harper says the world has no answer as long as Global Capitalism remains addicted to limitless economic growth.

cannot fail to be heartened at that which was said at Davos, but there is much more to be brought into the public arena and it is to be hoped that this is only a start.

The galling truth is that Western politicians of the globalist, liberal kidney dominate every aspect of our lives and control the output of the media, artfully

orchestrating that which we see and hear of the present world and its ways. Of the twin issues most diligently precluded from our discussion are the facts of rapidly expanding Third World over populations and the over-consumption of the irreplaceable resources of the Earth. This latter destructive factor is now largely the



work of the developing Third World, particularly the vibrant, new economies of China, India and Indonesia.

Whether the nature of these threats will be revealed and reacted to in appropriate terms will depend very much on dynamic political elements here in the West.

CAPITALISM & CHEAP LABOUR

When a crime has been committed the jurists ask, "*cui bono?* whose advantage?" In the willful concealment of the statistics of rocketing populations in the Third World the practitioners of Global Capitalism hide their venal objectives which are primarily to provide the cheap labour on which their dubious economic miracle depends. This is only a part of their crime.

The strategy of expropriating the manufacturing faculties of the West to Third World countries and the reverse importation of millions of Third World inhabitants to drive down local wage levels here, thus generating a self-perpetuating demand for goods and services, will prove fatal for the West. It is interpreted as

Growth, the central Capitalist mindset. Impressionistically the process can be regarded as the uncontrolled overgrowth of cancer cells in animal tissue.

John Maynard Keynes, the creator of macroeconomics, whose views on race and eugenics this journal published in 2006, produced an essay in 1930 which, with the insight of genius which characterised so much of his work, revealed the grasping imperative which today threatens the entire world with the myth and fallacy of endless Growth.

Keynes looked forward to a time when people "will once more value ends above means and prefer the good to the useful. That time for all this is not yet. For at least another hundred years we must pretend to ourselves and everyone that fair is foul and foul is fair; for foul is useful and fair is not. Avarice and usury and precaution must be our gods for a little longer still. For only they can lead us out of the tunnel of necessity into daylight."

FOUNTAINHEAD

So there you have it from the very fountainhead of modern liberal economic philosophy. The entire, rotten structure of Globalist Capitalism is based on greed.

For macro-economists everywhere, for the EUSSR, for the neo-conservatives in the US, and the Lib/Lab/Con Axis in the UK, hypocritically led by that 'son of the manse', Gordon Brown, the strategy is plain as a pikestaff. The economy is regarded as vibrant only if it is growing at 3% or 4% per annum, a doubling of the Gross National Product every twenty years. Such doubling in a finite environment, be it national or worldwide, is self-destructive.

The Western capitalist class which has lost any national roots and identity sees unlimited immigration and unbridled spending, fuelled by borrowing, as the shortest way to its selfish profit. However, coming events cast their shadow and the sub-prime mortgage crisis within the waning US economy, together with the shock of Northern Rock here in the UK, may well be the beginning of the end for our present society made up largely as it is of bellies-with-legs and their cynical exploiters.

An ever-increasing Gross Domestic Product is the index of success to most economists today and to globalists in particular. To realists and to those who have not been captivated by the myth of Economic Man the GDP fails to take account of subtraction from the national environment in the pursuit of growth. When a forest is felled the value of the timber is added to the calculation of the GDP but there is no balancing deficit to record the loss to the community of the forest, nor any noted debit of the costs involved in replacing the forest. In Fife, some distance from where I live, there is a

massive opencast coal scheme currently powering the largest surviving coal-fired power station in the UK. In five year's time it will be exhausted and the moonscape excavation returned to low grade pasture. By our exploitation of this mineral resource in pursuit of growth we will have subtracted in a short space of time an irreplaceable natural asset. This enterprise is an example of globalist economic activity writ small. Multiply the singular consumption of one irreplaceable natural asset by as many enterprises as presently operate everywhere in the world and we arrive at the mighty reality which economists today almost entirely ignore. The economy operates only within the environment even as it transforms it and if it is to survive it must operate within the constraints of environmental sustainability and this the operators obdurately refuse to do by reason of their fixed expansionist prejudice.

Our society has no overall population policy to measure how many people are justified in participating in the meal of the national and world-wide resources we possess. It is very much a case of fill and fetch more. Before the rapid growth of human populations from 1750 the heedless pursuit of economic expansion mattered little since the resources available world-wide immeasurably exceeded demand.

Today, the situation has reversed and the studious ignoring of a balancing mechanism between human numbers increasing uncontrollably and world resources decreasing in like measure indicates that our Greed/Growth/Globalism is at very best an utterly irrational process. Until we immediately lift the taboo on the close consideration of population levels in the West and elsewhere and begin doing something about it, if that is possible, we are blundering into a catastrophic future.

The Globalists, at best, like Mr. Micawber, think that "something will turn up." They are prepared to spend whatever it takes to secure growth in the world's economies. They spin off ideas about 'hydrogen' and 'human ingenuity' but they will not grasp the hard facts of the world they now live in. World populations are now rising perpendicularly and world resources are declining in like measure. We must learn the lesson of Easter Island where the Polynesian inhabitants enjoyed unrestricted population growth, unrestricted use of finite resources and suffered inevitable ecological disaster and population crash.

In the West we are financing our expansion of living standards with massive balance of payment deficits which will ultimately be called in. We should note that last year Britain recorded its largest annual goods trade deficit since records began in 1697. The gap between imports and exports swelled to £87.4 billions from £77.4 billions in 2006. Even closer to home the levels of

personal borrowings and debt have never been higher and we are now entering the phase of insolvency which underlies the crisis in the US property market and the tremors emanating from the Northern Rock.

Part and parcel of Globalisation and its Growth component is the Age of Migrations in which we now stand.

UN estimates of world population conservatively number human beings today at 6 billions, 8.9 billions projected for 2050 and 110 billions for 2100.

We in the West are now in a state of population decline attendant upon our advanced societies in so far as European stock in the US and elsewhere is concerned. The population growth of the US is made up entirely of Third World immigrants and Mexicans. The net reproductive rates of such peoples are now on average double that of European originated Americans.

Granted that a growing group of Developing Nations are witnessing a slowdown in their Total Fertility Rates, for example, Korea, Taiwan, Singapore; these represent but a small contribution to the desired reduction in the Earth's population. In China, India and Brazil a slowing of human fecundity is only measurable in the upper echelons of their societies: otherwise their toiling billions in factories and field continue procreatively as before.

LARGER FAMILIES

Western aid merely increases the reproductive rates of the Third World. Money and aid, particularly to Sub-Saharan Africa, without concomitant birth control measures only exacerbates poverty. With better nutrition African populations at the poorest level produce larger families which nullify the half thought out intentions of the donors.

For example, the famine in Ethiopia, 1984-85, produced Western donations of £50 millions and billions of US dollars. Result? The US Development Program revealed that the Ethiopian population between 1985 and 2000 increased from 44 millions to 69 millions, life expectancy fell as did the average annual income from \$130 to \$90 and births remained at six children per woman.

Without some present solution of unimaginable genius our built-in Darwinian nature will, as Malthus predicted, take its course and world population will crash by way of famine, genocide and war.

In an effort to escape the mounting poverty which envelops much of the Third World, millions of humans seek a place in the West. This action serves two purposes. It relieves the originating nations of unwanted surplus persons and it provides the Global Capitalist with the cheap labour which allows him to compete in some degree with the competition of the low-wage economies. The inevitable damage to

the indigenous workers in the West and to the identity of the Western nation-states themselves is of no concern to the Globalists since their underlying cultural Marxism which exerts such a present stranglehold on our society regards the destruction of the identity of the West as its primary objective and the immigrant millions as their demographic footsoldiers.

The Malthusian conclusion will be the last scene of the drama being presently acted out.

China and India, the frontrunners in world industrial production are consuming energy at a horrifying pace. In India the era of the £1,000 car is an actuality. Close on 100 coal tankers a week leave Australian ports China bound. Whilst we in Britain agonise over the replacement of four nuclear power stations China seems likely by 2009 to be creating that number in a month.

Peak Oil is no longer a distant future but a present event. Such fundamentals of human existence as water, cultivable soil, fertilisers, grain (wheat and rice), meat and fish (wild and farmed) are rapidly declining. This latter deprivation is in spite of the fact that yields of all crops have been spectacularly increased in the recent past by genetic improvements.

The conclusion is inescapable. We are living on borrowed time. The resources on which the world's populations depend are disappearing at an accelerating pace and the tragedy is that some of those who must perceive that world population overgrowth is the root of the problem are prepared to keep silent on the matter to satisfy their insatiable lust for power and profit within their Global Empire.

Many of us in the British National Party along with independent thinkers of many disciplines have for some time now been stressing, whenever we can in the Press, the cataclysmic outcome inevitable in neglecting the issue of world overpopulation. Needless to say the subject is suppressed by editors over-sensitised by their liberal/left political masters, though, with the dam which is holding back the parallel crisis of mass immigration showing daily signs of giving way, things may be about to change.

The political parties here in Britain, the Lib Lab Con Axis, are as anaesthetised to the mortal danger of world overpopulation as they are to that of the mass immigration to our shores. Currently there is some lip-service to the need to curb the worst excesses of mass immigration being made by some of the most venturesome of the Tory Party, always, it should be said, in the best possible taste, but realists know that this is hypocritical nonsense. The Tory Party has for some time now been fatally infected with the neo-Marxist contagion that cankers all our major institutions, be they Educational, the Judiciary, the Police, the Civil Service, the Protestant Churches,

Television, Radio and Newspapers. Before the fall of the Berlin Wall the Marcusean agents of the Frankfurt School, reshaping Marx's anti-Western doctrines, were malignantly embedded in all these bodies and for this reason the Tory Party with its Janus-headed Cameron as leader is constitutionally incapable of any action against these greatest threats ever to the British peoples.

The Optimum Population Trust now enjoying a rising popularity, albeit restricted to a limited clientele, has never been prepared to grasp the nettle of the evils of mass immigration to our country though they have stressed the world-wide dangers of overpopulation. Threatening events will I believe cause them to overcome their timidity and join the ranks of those of us



who realise that we are engaged in a life and death struggle.

Migrationwatch UK, admirably headed by Sir Andrew Green is a beacon of truth in the darkness of treason and deception which surrounds us here in Britain today.

However, the British National Party, immune from the sepsis of racial and national decadence will inevitably assume the leadership of the British nation in the day of judgement which now faces us.

The titles below form part of a flowing tide of scientific works devoted to the problems arising from world overpopulation and mass immigration. Neither of these two fundamental problems can be studied in isolation. Some of the writers are forthright in their condemnation of the taboo exercised by the Lib Lab Con Axis on

these subjects. It is left to the British National Party as the ascendant guardian of British freedom and identity to review the science of the matter and to bring it to the attention of the British people together with a denunciation of the treacherous criminality of those who for their wretched purposes would keep us from the truth.

REFERENCES

Bahn and Flenley. (1992) *Easter Island, Earth Island*.
 Browne A (2002) *Do We Need Mass Immigration?* Civitas: Institute for the Study of Civil Society, London.
 Campbell CJ (1979) *The Coming Oil Crisis*. Multi-Science Publishing Company, Essex.
 Coleman DA (1996) *Europe's Population in*

the 1990's. Oxford University Press.
 Coleman DA (2000) *Who's afraid of low support ratios?* Dept. of Social Policy. Oxford University.
 Coleman DA (2001) *Identity Crisis*. The Spectator 6 January 2001, London.
 Darwin CG (1953) *The Next Million Years*. Doubleday and Company, New York.
 Darwin CG (1960) *Can man control his numbers?* In Vol. 2, *Evolution after Darwin*, ed. Sol Tax. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
 Duguid JP (2004) *Population, Resources and the Quality of Life*. Population Policy Press, Pontyclun CF72 8LQ.
 Ehrlich P (1968) *The Population Bomb*. New York.
 Ferguson ARB (2002b) *Adjusting populations to match biocapacity*. *The Pherologist*, vol. 5 no. 2, 1-4. Newsletter of the European Pherology Organisations Confederation.
 Hardin G (1968) *The tragedy of the commons*. Science. 13 December 1968.
 Hardin G (1993) *Living Within Limits: Ecology, Economics and Population Taboos*. Oxford University Press, London and New York.
 Malthus TR (1798) *Essay on the Principle of Population*. Everyman's Library, 1933. JM Dent and Sons, London.
 Mishan E (2001) *The truth about the economics of immigration*. From the International Council for Human Ecology and Ethnology.
 Morgan P (1999) *Farewell to the Family? Public Policy and Family Breakdown in Britain and the USA*. Published by Civitas for the Institute of Economic Affairs, London.
 Parsons J (1971) *Population versus Liberty*. Pemberton Publishing Co. London.
 Parsons J *Population Fallacies*. Elek Books Ltd. London.
 Parsons J (1998) *Human Population Competition: A Study of the Pursuit of Power through Numbers*. Edwin Mellen Press, Lewiston New York.
 Pimentel D et al. (1999) *Will limits of the earth's resources control human numbers?* Environment, Development and Sustainability 1: 19-39.
 Salt J (1996) *Migration pressures on Western Europe. Chapter 3 in Europe's Population in the 1990's*, ed. DA Coleman. Oxford University Press.
 Sinclair J (1992) *The Lost Land: Land Use Change in England 1945-1990*. Council for the Protection of Rural England. London SW1W 0PP.
 Stanton WI (2003) *The Rapid Growth of Human Populations: Histories, Consequences, Issues, Nation by Nation*. Multi-Science Publishing Company Ltd.
 Willey D (1996-2000) *Optimum population for Europe*. International Workshop on Population and the Environment, Rome 1996.
 Youngquist W (1997) *GeoDestinies: The Inevitable Control of Earth's Resources over Nations and Individuals*. National Book Company, Portland, Oregon.



FAMILY FESTIVAL

Red, White & Blue

2008



Event Organiser
Dave Shapcott

15th - 17th August

Held at the same Derbyshire
location as last year!

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20 ☐ £46.40 ☐

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to:
PO Box 17, Amlwch, LL68 8AB. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;
80 - £110; 100 - £135

Postage - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;
£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100